WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.			
Jose Luis Castro-Camargo	Case Number:	13-008M	
n accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. epresented by counsel. I conclude by a preponde detention of the defendant pending trial in this case	rance of the evidence the defendant is a		
, ,	FINDINGS OF FACT		
find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
The defendant is not a citizen of the	ne United States or lawfully admitted for p	Inited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
The defendant, at the time of the of	of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
If released herein, the defendant f Enforcement, placing him/her bey deported or otherwise removed.	eleased herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs orcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been orted or otherwise removed.		
The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the Dis	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably lated to assure his/her future appearance.		
The defendant has a prior crimina	l history.		
The defendant lives/works in Mexit	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
The defendant is an amnesty appl substantial family ties to Mexico.	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.		
The defendant attempted to evade	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
The defendant is facing a maximu	ım of years impris	sonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the modern at the time of the hearing in this matter, exce	naterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agept as noted in the record.	gency which were reviewed by the	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
 There is a serious risk that the def No condition or combination of condition 	fendant will flee. nditions will reasonably assure the appea	rance of the defendant as required.	

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: January 28, 2013

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge